UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uplogov

344- 5						1	Service Contraction of the Contr	
· i	36	- B	.) 'Y	1 × 1	" ret	3	(b)	

Date: 25 Oct 2007

To: John C. Stringham	From: Humera N. Sheikh			
Application/Control Number: 10/612,754	Art Unit: 1615			
Fax No.: (801) 328-1707	Phone No.: (571) 272-0604			
Voice No.: (801) 533-9800	Return Fax No.: (571) 273-0604			
Re: Intervie w Summary Record (PTOL-413)	CC: Humera Sheikh			
Urgent For Review For Com	ment For Reply Per Your Request			

Comments:

Attached please find a copy of the Interview Summary Record (PTOL-413), which briefly summarizes our telephonic discussion held 10/25/07 regarding application no. 10/612,754. If you have any questions/comments, please call. Thank you.

Number of pages $\underline{3}$ including this page

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

t.	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Interview Summary	10/612,754	MOWER ET AL.		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Humera N. Sheikh	1615		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):			
(1) <u>Humera N. Sheikh</u> .	(3) Perry Brown (attorney)			
(2) <u>John Stringham(attorney)</u> .	(4) <u>Sandy Bigelow (R&D)</u> .			
Date of Interview: <u>25 October 2007</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) applicant's representativ	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: of record (1-12, 20, 23).				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Su (2002/0068102); F</u> <u>Downton (USPN 5,411,755)</u> .	ischer (USPN 5,433,965); Yeg	orova (USPN 6,387,370);		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ l	N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		o if an agreement was		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that	greed would render the claims would render the claims		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS on reverse side or on attached sheet.	e last Office action has alread R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT TERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	y been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO		
	AUMER MUMER PRIMARY	AN SHEIKH Y EXAMINER		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the use and properties of noni-fruit (Morinda citrifolia). Specifically, applicant indicated that noni fruit is known for its restorative properties and exhibits a very poor taste, which makes it difficult to digest. Applicant indicated that they have discovered that powdered form of Luo Han Guo (Momordica plant) is effective for masking the poor taste of noni fruit, thus making it palatable for intake. Applicant stated that the primary references of Su and Yegorova both recognize the use of noni fruit and the off-flavors exhibited by noni fruit. Applicant stated that while the secondary references of Fischer and Downton teach Luo Han Guo, they teach only the "liquid" forms of Luo Han Guo, whereby the liquid forms are used for sweetening purposes only, and do not teach "powdered form" of Luo Han Guo for masking flavor/taste of noni fruit. Applicant directed Examiner to Fischer (column 1, lines 53-59) and Downton (column 1, lines 63-68) for the teaching that the dry form of Luo Han Guo causes formation of a bitter, astringent and brown flavors. Examiner indicated that the claims are directed to a productby-process and thus, the particular process by which the supplement is prepared is not given patentable weight. Applicant indicated that they will file a preliminary amendment which incorporates specific amounts/ranges of Luo Han Guo, to replace "effective amount" as in claim 1. Examiner further suggested to incorporate specific amounts/ranges of each ingredient being claimed (i.e., raspberry/blueberry extract) to aid in distinguishing over the prior art of record. Examiner further indicated that she will update her search prior to issuing another office action. No further specific agreement was reached at this time.